

Outline

- I. Treatment
 - I. Group Learning Interviews
- II. Assessment
 - I. In-class examinations

Assessment Research Questions

- To what extent does the treatment facilitate solving non-traditional problems?
 - ¹Text-editing
 - ²Problem Posing
 - ³Jeopardy

5

³ ¹(Low & Over, 1989) ²(Mestre, 2002) ³(Van Heuvelen, 1999)

Non-Traditional Problems

Low & Over (1990)

- 'Task requires an understanding of problem structure'
- 'Text editing can be a measure of schematic knowledge'

Problem Posing

Mestre (2002)

- 'Probing students' understanding of physics concepts'
- 'Ability to transfer their knowledge to novel contexts'

Physics Jeopardy

- Van Heuvelen (1998)
- · 'Effort to represent a physical process in a variety of ways'



Non-Traditional Problems Physics Jeopardy Students given fragment of solution to a problem, asked to identify scenario that corresponds to solution.







General Information

- Participants
 - All students in 1st semester algebra-based physics (N = 283)
 - Includes students in Group Learning Interviews (N = 9)
- Data Collected
 - · Scantron data on all questions in all (five) examinations
 - Includes data on three (extra credit) non-traditional problems at end of each exam

Exams : Text Editing

	~~	Group	Rest of the		
n n	n n	Cohort	Class	P value*	
	ц	Mean ± S.E.	Mean ± S.E.		
+	/ 1	75.3% <mark>(</mark> ₩)6.03%	70.0% <mark>(</mark> ₩)1.09%	0.3808	
		NO statistica	ally significant	0.0000	
2	2	difference be	etween cohort	0.8559	
3	3	and rest of class on traditional exam problems		0.4593	
4	4	$76.8\% \pm 4.98\%$	$77.0\% \pm 0.93\%$	0.9795	
ţ	5	79.4% ± 5.99%	77.6% ± 0.99%	0.7655	
	-	(N = 7)	(N = 258)		
	NONE are ≤ 0.10				
11 * ANOVA – Single Factor					

	E	xams : 1	ext Edit	ina
	Exa	Group Int.	Rest of the	
	m	Cohort	Class	P-value*
	#	% Correct (N)	% Correct (N)	0.5673
	· ·	(N = 9)	(N = 274)	0.001.0
	2	77.8%	74.1%	0.8003
		NO statistica	lly significant	0.0000
	3	difference o	n any exam	0.7072
		(N = 9)	(N = 267)	
	4	44.5%	44.6%	0.9339
		(N = 9)	(N = 258)	
	5	42.9%	47.3%	0 3354
		(N = 7)	(N = 258)	0.0004
* Logistics test using Binomial model				
12				

Exam Performance

• Student performance on average

10

- Jeopardy > Text Editing > Problem Posing
 (63% correct)
 (53% correct)
 (31% correct)
- · Lower than traditional problems (70% correct)

		Examo	ocoparo	· y	
1	Exa	Group Inf.	Rest of the		
	m	Cohort	Class	P-value*	
	# 1	% Correct (N) (N = 9)	% Correct (N) 52.9% (N) (N = 274)	0.8760	
	2	NO statistically significant difference <i>except</i> on		0.2348	
	3	Exam 5		0.8639	
	4	44.5% (N = 9)	(N = 257) 33.7% (N = 258)	0.5127	
	5	100% (N = 7)	77.9% (N = 258)	0.0635	
	Only Exam 5 is ≤ 0.10				
	13 * Logistics test using Binomial model				

Exa	ams : Pro	blem Po	osing	
m	Cohort	Class	P-value*	
#	% Correct (N)	% Ggri % (N)	0.4226	
- ·	NO statistica	lly significant	0.1220	
2	difference except on		0.3741	
3	Exams	54 & 5	0 4117	
	(N = 9)	(N = 267)	0.1117	
4	88.9% (N = 9)	(N = 258)	0.0012	
5	57.2%	25.6%	0.0821	
	(N = 7)	<u>(N = 258)</u>	0.0021	
Only Exams 4 & 5 are ≤ 0.10				
14 * Logistics test using Binomial model				

Summary of non-traditional problems assessment

- Student performance on average is lower for nontraditional problem types
- Other observations:
 - Significant difference between cohort and rest of class on Problem Posing & Jeopardy on last 2 exams.
 - It was only on the last 3 exams that the Group Learning Interview protocol was finalized.

15

Thank You

16