



Carina M. Poltera
 Peter R. Fletcher
 N. Sanjay Rebello

Department of Physics
 Kansas State University

Movie Physics

Supported in part by NSF Grant
 REC-0133621

Motivation

- Movies are a real and tangible part of the world.
- Opportunity to develop reasoning skills and physics knowledge.
- Interesting context for educational researchers to examine what students transfer.
- Make further strides in helping students be informed skeptics of the future.

2

Background

Prior use of video clips in instruction:

- Daley (2004) – “exhibition” in high school classes.
- Dennis (2002) – exercises, “visual word problems”
- Everitt (1999) – “mini block reviews”

Little prior research of how students think of movies and their role in learning physics.

3

Overarching Goal

Investigate whether and how movies can be used to help introductory students to learn physics.

4

Research Questions

1. To what extent do students transfer learning from classroom and/or personal experiences to explain the physics underlying movie clips? **Phase I**
2. What factors mediate the cognitive processes through which students associate their prior knowledge with the movie clips? **Phase II**
3. To what extent can we use movie clips and supporting instructional materials to help students learn physics?

5

Framework: Transfer of Learning

- Contemporary perspective of transfer.
- Transfer from classroom / personal experiences to situations in action movie segments.
 - Lobato's¹ “actor-oriented” transfer perspective.

Did not pre-decide what should transfer, but examined everything students transferred.

¹Lobato, (2003)

6

Phase I – Methodology

- Grounded Approach
- 8 video clips: Plausible and questionable physics.
- Individual, think-aloud, semi-structured interviews.
- Question strategy: Explore students' connections to physics and personal experiences.
- Interviews videotaped, reasoning patterns analyzed.

7

Video	Major Physics Themes
<i>Pearl Harbor</i>	Projectile motion
<i>Speed (bus jump)</i>	Projectile motion
<i>Matilda</i>	Newton's laws and circular motion
<i>Speed (bus turn)</i>	Circular motion
<i>Mission to Mars</i>	Gravity and rotation
<i>Tommy Boy</i>	Projectile motion and circular motion
<i>Speed 2</i>	Momentum and collisions
<i>Tango and Cash</i>	Projectile motion and electricity

Phase I – Data Sources

- 13 students
 - 5 in 1st semester algebra-based physics
 - 8 in 2nd semester algebra-based physics
- 3 physics experts interviewed
 - Faculty
 - Post-doc
 - Graduate student

9

Phase I – Results & Conclusions Students

- Reasoned spontaneously about each clip.
- Used general physics terms, but relevant application lacking.
- Correctly pointed out instances of incorrect physics.
- More precise connections with everyday experiences than concepts covered in class.
- Tended to rely on physical intuition rather than on understanding of physics underpinnings.

10

Phase I – Results & Conclusions Experts

- Few differences with students regarding major physics themes or concepts.
- Experts presented their themes and concepts in a more structured manner.
- Experts relied less on intuition or personal experiences, more on physics-based reasoning.

11

Research Questions

1. To what extent do students transfer learning from classroom and/or personal experiences to explain the physics underlying movie clips? **Phase I** ✓
2. What factors mediate the cognitive process through which students associate their prior knowledge with the movie clips? **Phase II**
3. To what extent can we use movie clips and supporting instructional materials to help students learn physics?

12

Phase II – Methodology

1/2

- Drilling and prompting questions
- Incorporated stimuli
 - Toy setups, Demonstrations, and Drawing
- Culled from 8 videos to 4 videos based on:
 - Student engagement
 - Reasoning difficulty
 - Physics topics

13

Phase II – Methodology

2/2

- 4 videos
 - *Speed*
 - *Matilda*
 - *Mission to Mars*
 - *Speed 2*
- Common theme: Newton's laws

No intent to assess student understanding of these concepts, rather assess potential of these videos to help students learn.

14

Phase II – Data & Analysis

1/3

- 12 participating students:
 - 6 non-science majors -- 2nd semester conceptual physics
 - 6 engineering majors -- 1st semester calc-based physics
- Videotaped, transcribed and coded each interview by two independent researchers.
- Inter-rater reliability
 - ~ 75% before discussion.
 - ~ 95% after discussion.

15

Phase II – Data & Analysis

2/3

- Phenomenographic coding for:
 - Reasoning (logic, intuition, or p-prims²)
 - Source (classroom or everyday experience)
 - Epistemic Mode (authority or self-constructed³)
 - Recognition (instances or similarities)
 - Confidence Level (weak)

² diSessa, (1988)

³ Hammer & Elby, (2003)

16

Phase II – Data & Analysis

3/3

- Selected 2 students from each group who were most articulate and representative.
- Compared codes from 4 transcripts in 2 ways:
 1. Comparing engineering and non-science students across all movies.
 2. Comparing all movies for each student group.

17

Phase II – Results & Conclusions

2/4

- Engineering vs. non-science students :
 - Engineering students tended to rely more on intuition.
 - Non-science students tended to cite personal experiences and knowledge from authority.
 - Use of logic and concepts from class across all videos was relatively the same for the two groups.

18

Phase II – Results & Conclusions

3/4

- In comparing videos for non-science students:
 - In *Speed* and *Matilda*, tended to use more on concepts covered in class.
 - In *Mission to Mars* tended to rely on intuition while explaining artificial gravity.
 - Epistemic mode tended to change from reliance on authority to self-constructed knowledge as session progressed.

19

Phase II – Results & Conclusions

4/4

- In comparing videos for engineering students :
 - Relied less on classroom concepts and more on intuition for *Mission to Mars* and *Speed 2*.
 - Tendency to rely more on intuition increased as session progressed.
 - Tendency to construct personal knowledge decreased as session progressed.
 - All through the videos they relied on logical reasoning and *not* on knowledge from authority.

20

Summary

Video clips may potentially help...

- keep students engaged in learning.
- motivate them to construct their own knowledge.
- Facilitate students to refine their own raw intuition⁴ toward understanding physics concepts underpinning each video.

⁴ Elby, (2001)

21

Current and Future Work

Phase III of project:

- Develop instructional material that utilizes action movie clips to learn a concept: e.g. Artificial gravity
- Pilot-test activity with small groups of students outside of class.
- Classroom implementation.

22

Thank You!

Contact Information

Carina Poltera
(cmpoltera@bsu.edu)

Peter Fletcher
(fletcher@phys.ksu.edu)

Sanjay Rebello
(srebello@phys.ksu.edu)

23