

Assessing College Students' Retention and Transfer from Calculus to Physics

Lili Cui
N. Sanjay Rebello
Andrew G. Bennett
Kansas State University



Work supported in part by NSF grant DUE-0206943



Research Question

- To what extent do students retain and transfer their calculus knowledge when solving problems in introductory physics?
- What difficulties pertaining to the transfer of calculus do students have while solving these problems?

2

Transfer

- Transfer is often defined as the ability to apply what has been learned in one context to a new context¹
- Methods to assess transfer
 - One-shot assessments such as performance on tests and examinations
 - Graduated prompting²

¹Byrnes (1996)

²Newmann (1989)

3

Quantitative Method: Examine Students' Exam Performance

- Engineering Physics II students
 - n=147 for Fall 2004, n=269 for Spring 2005
 - Three exams were collected for each semester
- Develop individual rubric to measure *physics correct* and *calculus correct* in every calculus-based physics problem
- Calculate the *Pearson Correlation* between students' calculus and physics performance

4

Example Student's Response on Exam

5. Consider a nonconducting sphere of radius $R = 10 \text{ cm}$, with charge $q = 3 \text{ } \mu\text{C}$, spread uniformly throughout its volume. The magnitude of the electric field E as a function of the distance r from the center of the sphere can be calculated by using Gauss' Law and has the following form:

$$E(r) = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R^2} \quad \text{for } r < R \text{ and}$$

$$E(r) = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} \quad \text{for } r > R.$$

(a) (12 points) Since the electric field is radially outward, one can write $V_f - V_i = -\int E(r) dr$. Start from this definition of potential difference and consider $V=0$ at $r=\infty$. Compute the electric potential on the surface of the sphere.

4.

$$V_i = -\int_{\infty}^R E(r) dr = -\int_{\infty}^R \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r^2} dr = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[\frac{1}{r} \right]_{\infty}^R = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R}$$

Answer: $V = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R}$

Example—Scoring Rubric

Points	Physics Performance Criteria	Calculus Performance Criteria
3	Understand need to integrate from infinity to R with the proper E	Do the integration correctly, knowing how to apply the limit
2	Do the integral with the proper E, but do not know what is the limit; wrong with the negative sign; did not put the number in finally	Do the indefinite integration correctly, do not know how to apply limit; algebra wrong; do not provide the numerical answer
1	No integral, Use other formula like $V=E \cdot d$, or put into the wrong E; or adding two parts	The indefinite integration is not exactly right, some constant is wrong
0	Wrong. Like Use a point charge formula instead of using E	Wrong

6

Interview—Fall 2004

- Engineering Physics-II students
 - Eight male volunteers
 - Sophomores
 - Mech. Engr. majors
- Two sessions
- For each session:
 - About one hour long
 - Solve two physics problems
 - Solve isomorphic calculus problems
 - General questions about calculus background and application of their calculus knowledge in physics

- 1) E field caused by a half-circle charge distribution
- 2) Electric potential caused by changing E field
- 3) B field caused by a non-constant current distribution
- 4) Induced current caused by moving of the loop in a changing magnetic field

7

Interview—Fall 2004

- Engineering Physics-II students
 - Five male, three female, various majors
- For each session (two sessions total):
 - Similar format as Fall 2004
 - Do not solve pure calculus problem
 - Solve sets of variation of physics problem to explore the criteria use "integration" instead of "summation"

Focus on exploring the origin of difficulties

8

Quantitative Results

Pearson Correlation between Calculus and Physics Performance				
Fall 2004 (n=147)	Exam 2	Math in part (a)	Physics in part (a)	0.42
		Math in part (b)	Physics in part (b)	0.36
	Exam 4	Math in part (a)	Physics in part (a)	0.38
		Math in part (b)	Physics in part (b)	0.53
Final Exam	Q3 Math	Q3 Physics	0.26	
	Q4 Math	Q4 Physics	0.64	
Spring 2005 (n=269)	Exam 1	Math in part (e)	Physics in part (e)	0.66
	Exam 2	Math in part (a)	Physics in part (a)	0.88
		Math in part (c)	Physics in part (c)	0.94
	Exam 3	Math in part (a)	Physics in part (a)	0.82
		Math in part (b)	Physics in part (b)	0.84

* $r > 0.18$ indicates strong correlation

* $r > 0.23$ indicates strong correlation

Strong correlations between math and physics performance

➔

Possibility of transfer from calculus to physics

9

Interview Results: Fall 2004

- Self-confidence in calculus knowledge retention
- Realization that calculus is required in physics
- Lack of confidence in setting-up physics problems

Students' self-reflections are consistent with our observations.

10

Interview Results: Spring 2005

- Consistent with previous interview results
- Criterion on use integration in physics
 - When problems were similar to the examples seen in text (4 out of 7 interviewees)
 - Could not explain why they used integration
 - Could not solve the variation physics problems
 - Use integration to add up infinitesimally small elements (3 out of 7)

11

Interview Results: Spring 2005

- Difficulties when applying integrals
 - Determining the variable of integration.
 - "all constants (variables), I do not know what I should integrate although I know how to integrate..."
 - Deciding the limits of integration
 - Students usually did not realize they used the wrong limits
 - Origin of difficulties
 - Physics class (majority)
 - Calculus class

12



Conclusions

- The strong correlations between students' calculus and physics performance indicates transfer.
- Students believed that for the most part their calculus class has provided them with adequate knowledge and skills required for physics .
- Students believed they did transfer their calculus knowledge when solving calculus-based physics problems.
- Students need external clues to facilitate the transfer process.

13



Further Work

- Collect participants' scores in their calculus class and conduct correlation analysis.
- Run hierarchical cluster analysis using all kinds of variables to find how they relate to each other.

14



For More Information...

Lili Cui
Kansas State University

lili@phys.ksu.edu
(785)532-7167

15